

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,274	06/27/2001	Marcellino Tanumihardja	360044.401	5243
500	7590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
SEED INT	ELLECTUAL PROPE	POINVIL, FRANTZY		
701 FIFTH SUITE 6300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WA 98104-7092	3628		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/894,274	TANUMIHARDJ	TANUMIHARDJA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Frantzy Poinvil	3628				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, objection of the provision	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, howeven. a reply within the statutory minimeriod will apply and will expire SI tatute, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered tim K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	lety. communication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	27 June 2001.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
3)□							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□							
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	•	` '			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been receiv nents have been receiv priority documents hav ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa)).	al Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 <u>I</u> n	terview Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/24/01</u> .	3/08) 5) 🔲 No	aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application (PT ther:	ГО-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/894,274

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as directed to a single means claim.

Claim 23 merely recites a single means in a system for performing the claimed function in a system. It is unclear as to how such is accomplished.

A single means claim, i.e., where a means recitation does not appear in combination with another recited element of means, is subject to an undue breadth rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. *In re Hyatt*, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (A single means claim which covered every conceivable means for achieving the stated purpose was held nonenabling for the scope of the claim because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor.).

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,274

Art Unit: 3628

Claim 1 recites a method that performs a single function. It is unclear how a method merely recites a single step. Applicant is reminded that a method claim should recite a series of discrete steps for performing claimed functions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-20, 23-42 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Joao et al (US Patent No. 6,529,725).

As per claims 1-20 and 23-42, Joao et al teach all the claimed features. Joao et al teach a system and method for authorizing a financial transaction at a point of sales or at a remote location. Applicant is directed to the abstract. The system and method comprise means and step of authorizing at least one payment transaction (for credit card, debit card and checking transactions) wherein the authorization is effected near real time by user input to a computational entity through a wireless device. Applicant is directed to column 3, line 65 to column 5, line 55. Both the wireless device and the computational entity accept voice data and alphanumeric user input data. Note column 6, line 48 to column 7, line 48 and column 10, lines 30-67. The system and method further comprise

Art Unit: 3628

steps or means for accepting user input that activates a profile containing pre-stored financial data, pre-stored credit, debit card and check handling information data. See column 7, lines 41-67 and column 11, lines 20-55. The authorization is effected by user input to a computational entity through a wireless device in response to a presentation of a message through the wireless device. See column 7, lines 8-32 and column 10, lines 31-67. The wireless device includes a visual presentation device and an audio presentation device. Note column 10, lines 31-67. The price is also presented through the wireless device. See column 6, lines 23-47.

As per claim 45, Joao et al disclose all the claimed features. Joao et al disclose a system of tracking a financial transaction and circuitry for authorization at least one payment transaction wherein the authorization is effected by user input to a computational entity through a wireless device and the circuitry is selected from an electrical-circuitry group. Applicant is directed to column 4, line 48 to column 10, line 67.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,274

Art Unit: 3628

Claims 21-22 and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joao et al (US Patent No. 6,529,725).

As per claims 21 and 43, the teachings of Joao et al are discussed above. Joao et al do not explicitly teach the step of presenting the price being in conjunction with at least one message-structure item comprises all the recited items. Joao et al teach the price and transaction information are presented and a reply is expected from the user. The Examiner submits that in the system of Joao et al, a plurality of financial transactions is effected and a message is transmitted to the user wherein the message is a visual, text or audio message. As per the specific types of items being claimed, the Examiner notes that such do not affect the functioning of the system of Joao et al as such are only types of data relevant to a type of financial transactions. Thus, the Examiner submits that no patentable differences exist. Incorporating such types of data in the system of Joao et al would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in order to inform a client or user to submit a response related to an approval/denial of a given type of transactions.

As per claims 22 and 44, the teachings of Joao et al are discussed above. Joao et al do not explicitly teach the wireless device has a browser selected from the group that includes a WML capable browser, a CHTML capable browser, a Pocket IE HTML capable browser, a Palm Query Application capable browser and a voice XML capable browser. Joao et al teach that a plurality types of wireless devices may be used in their system. Note column 10, lines 3-14 and lines 42-67. Types of wireless devices having a browser capability are well known in the art at the time of applicant's invention. Joao et al further state communicating transaction data via the Internet. Note column 10, lines 3-

Art Unit: 3628

14. Incorporating a wireless device having a browser capability in the system of Joao et al would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for instant communication purposes through the Internet so as to communicate transaction data to/from a client.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantzy Poinvil whose telephone number is (703) 305-9779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FP September 29, 2004

FRANTZY POINVIL PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 3628 Page 6